

Dear Author/s:

Submission of a research article to PHAROS JOURNAL OF THEOLOGY

has been double blind peer reviewed and you are required to attend to the revisions and **accept all changes where applicable on the attached document and then resend. **Please do not alter any fonts or anything else.****

Thank you for considering PHAROS JOURNAL OF THEOLOGY as your journal for publication.

Assistant Editor

Pharos Journal of Theology

www.pharosjot.com

Pharos Journal of Theology

ISSN 2414-3324 REVIEWERS TEMPLATE

Kindly return to: africajournals@hotmail.com

NB. Plagiarized work or work previously published will be rejected out of hand.

If no copyright agreement is submitted then articles are also rejected.

THIS REVIEW INCORPORATES FEEDBACK RECEIVED FROM TWO SUBJECT-EXPERT ANONYMOUS PEER-REVIEWERS

PART A:

SECTION I

Reviewer's Name:	XXXXXXXXXX and XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
E-Mail:	XXXXXXXXXX
Manuscript Number:	Article 19 Vol. 104(2) (2023)
Title:	Kingdom-Driven Living Based on Matthew 5-7
Authors:	?
Date Sent To Reviewer/s:	01/03/2023
Date Expected From Reviewer:	Within 25 working days or sooner

PART B: *Reviewer Only*

SECTION II: Comments on manuscript

EVALUATION AREAS	COMMENTS: Please type in the space below
1. Significance and relevance of themes in introduction	<p>The title/topic, scope and objectives of the article are original. They are adequately defined and contextualised.</p> <p>The purpose of this study was to examine the life that is driven by the Kingdom of God based on Matthew 5-7, which the author asserts must be implemented and realized in the daily life of every citizen of the Kingdom of God so that Jesus' prayer "Thy kingdom come, your will be done on earth as it is in Heaven" can be realized.</p> <p>This was an interesting work in which the author makes clear the research issues to be addressed and he or she describes the motivation for the study and importantly, the context in which the arises and he or she then discusses the importance of the findings.</p>

	The work is publishable after minor revisions.
2. Sound argumentation	The article includes critical thinking that clearly states the author's well-versed and validated opinion, thorough evaluation of the article's premise, and the supporting points.
3. Literature usage	<p>Adequate knowledge, interpretation and application of the relevant literature.</p> <p>The literature situated this research focus within the context of the wider academic community in the field.</p> <p>A fair assortment of sources was referred to. This was mostly advantageous.</p>
4. Methodology	This research methodology employed was a qualitative with a biblical and literature review approach. There was exegesis of especially Matthew 5-7.
5. Critique quality	<p>There is a logical coherence between the objectives and the findings. Text is structured satisfactorily, with clear evidence of insight, depth and understanding. There is respectable evidence of organisation and structure, and tolerable coherence reflecting good insight and understanding.</p> <p>The critique uses a formal, academic writing style and has a clear structure.</p>
6. Sound conclusions/ results and /or recommendations	<p>The conclusion is brief but show readers the value of the developed argument and it is argued that a life that is driven by the Kingdom of God is a way of life that is oriented to the standards of the Kingdom of God that must be manifested in the daily life of every citizen of the Kingdom of God.</p> <p>The author demonstrates technical competence and has used appropriate methods.</p> <p>The paper expresses its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the reading capacities of academics, tertiary students and professional readership in this field.</p> <p>The standard of the writing, including spelling and grammar is good. Endorsing publication with very minor revisions.</p>

SECTION II (Cont.)

Bibliography/References	Place an X in the appropriate box
Harvard Style	
Chicago Style - APA	X

SECTION III - Please rate the following: (1 = Excellent) (2 = Good) (3 = Fair) (4 = poor)

Originality:	3 / 2
Contribution to the Field:	3 / 3
Technical Quality:	2 / 2
Clarity of Presentation :	2 / 2
Depth of Research:	3 / 2

SECTION IV - Recommendation: (Kindly Mark With An X)

Accept as is:	
Requires minor corrections:	X X
Requires moderate revision:	
Requires major revision:	
Submit to another journal such as:	
Reject on grounds of (Please be specific):	

SECTION V: Additional Comments

You are not required to comment unless:

- You have given a low score in any of the evaluation areas or believe you must justify why you have given the paper a high score
- You indicate that a response to any of the evaluation criteria is 'not applicable' because the paper legitimately does not set out to be proficient in a particular area (for instance, pure theory or philosophical argumentation which does not use conventional 'data').
- You have specific suggestions you would like to provide the author(s) in relation to any of the evaluation areas.
- If you have recommended rejection or revision, then please elaborate in detail

PHAROS JOURNAL OF THEOLOGY

ISSN 2414-3324

March 16, 2023

Dear Author/s

Montang, R.D., Universitas Kristen Papua (UKiP) Sorong, Papua Barat, Indonesia

rickymontang@ukip.ac.id

Your article which was submitted to PHAROS JOURNAL OF THEOLOGY entitled:

Kingdom-Driven Living Based on Matthew 5-7

Will be published in the 2023 acceptances of - ***Pharos Journal of Theology ISSN 2414-3324 Volume 104(2) - (2023)*** after the requisite double-blind peer rigorous reviews and subsequent accepted revisions and will be viewable on April 05 at www.pharosjot.com once the draft which is attached has been accepted by you and corrections made where required. Please submit by tomorrow.

Your invoice is attached. Please read it very carefully for payment details.

Please send proof of payment to africajournals@hotmail.com within 7 days.

Thank you for considering our journal

Regards

Assistant Editor

PHAROSJOT

www.pharosjot.com